

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/679,326	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WEINL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> John P. Sheehan	<b>Art Unit</b> 1742	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Amended

 (1) John P. Sheehan.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Jeffrey G. Killian.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 July 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

6, 13 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

None


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' response submitted July 10, 2007 put the case in condition for allowance. Applicants, in their response, requested rejoinder of process claims 6, 13 and 14 to the allowed product claims 7 to 12. The Examiner determined that independent process claim 6 did not recite all of the limitations that appear in allowed independent product claim 7. The Examiner telephoned Mr. Killian to discuss an Examiner's Amendment to incorporate the limitations of allowed independent product claim 7 into the independent process claim. Agreement was reached on an amendment, see the Examiner's Amendment. Mr. Killian also agreed to the Examiner's request for a Terminal Disclaimer regarding application SN 10/679,282.